EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

GLORIA J. LEWIS	§		
	§		
Plaintiff,	§		
	§		
v.	§		
	§	Case No.	
WALGREEN CO.,	§		
	§		
Defendant.	§		

INDEX OF STATE COURT DOCUMENTS

Document No.	Date Filed	Description of Document
1		State Court Docket Sheet
2	March 7, 2022	Plaintiff's Original Petition
3	March 23, 2022	Affidavit of Service
4	March 30, 2022	Defendant Walgreen Co.'s Original Answer

DOCUMENT 1

Case Information

DC-22-02635 | GLORIA J. LEWIS vs. WALGREENS CO.

Case Number

Court

DC-22-02635

134th District Court

File Date 03/07/2022

Case Type

PROPERTY

Judicial Officer

TILLERY, DALE
Case Status

OPEN

Party

PLAINTIFF

LEWIS, GLORIA J.

Active Attorneys ▼

Lead Attorney WOOD, KRISTI

Retained

DEFENDANT

WALGREENS CO.

Address

REGISTERED AGENT PRENTICE HALL CORPORATION SYSTEM

211 E. 7TH STREET, SUITE 620

AUSTIN TX 78701

Active Attorneys ▼

Lead Attorney

GOODMAN, MARK ALAN

Retained

Attorney

DENTON, JAMIE LEE

Retained

Events and Homorous Document 1-1 Filed 04/18/22 Page 5 of 22 PageID 9

03/07/2022 NEW CASE FILED (OCA) - CIVIL

03/07/2022 ORIGINAL PETITION ▼

ORIGINAL PETITION

03/07/2022 ISSUE CITATION

03/17/2022 CITATION ▼

Served

03/21/2022

Anticipated Server

ESERVE

Anticipated Method

Actual Server

OUT OF COUNTY

Returned

03/23/2022

Comment

WALGREENS CO.

03/23/2022 RETURN OF SERVICE ▼

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE - EXECUTED CITATION -WALGREENS CO.

Comment

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE - EXECUTED CITATION -WALGREENS CO.

03/30/2022 ORIGINAL ANSWER - GENERAL DENIAL ▼

ORIGINAL ANSWER - WALGREEN CO.

Financial

LEWIS, GLORIA J.

Documents

ORIGINAL PETITION

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE - EXECUTED CITATION -WALGREENS CO.
ORIGINAL ANSWER - WALGREEN CO.

DOCUMENT 2

DALLAS CO., TEXAS Paula Mountique DEPUTY

DC-22-02635

CAUSE NO.

GLORIA J. LEWIS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	134th
VS.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
WALGREENS CO.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND JURY DEMAND

COMES NOW GLORIA J. LEWIS, hereinafter also referred to as "Plaintiff," and files Plaintiff's Original Petition and Jury Demand complaining of WALGREENS CO., hereinafter also referred to as "Defendant," and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court the following:

I. DISCOVERY LEVEL

This lawsuit shall be conducted under Plaintiff intends for discovery to be conducted under Level 3 of Rule 190.2 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

II. PARTIES AND SERVICE

Plaintiff is an individual who resides in Dallas, Dallas County, Texas, and can be noticed by and through Plaintiff's attorney in charge, Kristi Wood, Thompson Law, 3300 Oak Lawn Avenue, 3rd Floor, Dallas, Texas 75219.

Defendant WALGREENS CO. is entity operating a commercial business in Dallas, Dallas County, Texas and may be served with citation at via their Registered Agent, Prentice Hall Corporation System, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701, or wherever Defendant

may be found. Plaintiff requests service by private process server. **Issuance of citation is** requested.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The subject matter in controversy in this lawsuit is within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

This Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this lawsuit because the parties to this lawsuit are Texas residents and/or regularly conduct business in Texas; the events upon which this lawsuit is based occurred in Texas; and the damages sought in this lawsuit are within the jurisdictional limits of this Court, consist of monetary relief of In order to comply with this procedural requirement, Plaintiff pleads pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 47, that Plaintiff seeks monetary relief in an amount of \$1,000,000.00 or more, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest and attorneys' fees, an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

Venue in Dallas, Dallas County, Texas is proper under Section 15.002(a)(2) of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code because all of the events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in Dallas County, Texas.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On or about May 8, 2020, Plaintiff was an invitee to Walgreens located at 8120 S. Cockrell Hill in Dallas, Texas 75236, when she slipped and fell while walking away down the hair care products aisle. At the time of the occurrence in question, Defendant operated the premises as a business and owed Plaintiff a duty to exercise ordinary care to ensure that their premises were safe. Defendant failed to maintain their premises free of any hazards, causing Plaintiff to slip and fall.

As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful and negligent conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered injuries, damages and medical expenses within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

V.

CAUSES OF ACTION

Negligence - Premises Liability

Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

The negligence of Defendant, its employees, agents and/or representatives acting within the scope of their employment was a proximate cause of the occurrence in question and Plaintiff's injuries and damages. Defendant owned, managed and/or maintained the Premises in question and had control of the Premises. At the time of the incident, Defendant was operating a business and the premises was open to the general public for the business's purposes. The Plaintiff was on the premises as a customer of Defendant.

Defendant created the dangerous condition on the Premises that caused Plaintiff's fall and injuries and damages through the acts of its agents, employees or representatives, and/or allowed it to be created.

Defendant knew or through the exercise of due care should have known that the Premises, in the condition it was in at the time of the incident which forms the basis of this suit, posed an unreasonable risk of harm to persons on the Premises, including without limitation Plaintiff.

Defendant were negligent when they:

- a. Failed inspect the Premises for defendants and/or unreasonably dangerous conditions;
 - b. Failed to make the Premises reasonably safe; and/or

c. Failed to make safe the dangerous conditions or provide adequate warning of the dangerous conditions for persons entering and on the Premises.

As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts and/or omissions, Plaintiff sustained bodily injuries and damages within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

VII. NOTICE OF INTENT

Plaintiff hereby gives notice to Defendant under Rule 193.7 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure that Plaintiff will use any document produced by Defendant during pretrial discovery against Defendant at any pretrial proceeding and at trial, and any such document is therefore self-authenticated pursuant to that rule.

VIII. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein, and that this case be tried before the Court and a Jury, after which Plaintiff recover:

- a. judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's damages as set forth above in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court;
- b. pre-judgment interest at the maximum amount allowed by law;
- c. post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowed by law;
- d. case costs and expenses of suit; and

e. such other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMPSON LAW LLP

3300 Oak Lawn Avenue, 3rd Floor Dallas, Texas 75219 Tel. 214/755-7777 Fax 214/716-0116

RYAN L. THOMPSON

State Bar No. 24046969 rthompson@triallawyers.com

KRISTI WOOD

State Bar No. 24076784 kwood@triallawyers.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

DOCUMENT 3

3/23/2022 12:30 PM

Case 3:22-cv-00869-B Document 1-1

FELICIA PITRE Page 14 of 22 PageID 18 DISTRICT CLERK

DALLAS CO., TEXAS SADAF RAJPUT DEPUTY

State of Texas

County of Dallas

134th Judicial District Court

Case Number: DC-22-02635

Plaintiff:

Gloria J. Lewis

VS.

Defendant: Walgreens Co.

For: Thompson Law, LLP 3300 Oak Lawn Avenue 3rd Floor Dallas, TX 75219

Received by Dane Ray Cuppett on the 21st day of March, 2022 at 9:54 am to be served on Walgreens Co. by serving its registered agent, Prentice Hall Corporation System, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Travis County, TX 78701.

I. Dane Ray Cuppett, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 21st day of March, 2022 at 1:38 pm. I:

executed to the REGISTERED AGENT by delivering a true copy of the Citation and Plaintiff's Original Petition and Jury Demand with the date of delivery endorsed thereon by me, to Evie Lichtenwalter, Prentice Hall Corporation System as the designated agent to accept delivery of process at the address of 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Travis County, TX 78701 on behalf of Walgreens Co. and informed said person of the contents therein.

I certify that I am over the age of 18, have no interest in the above action, and am a certified process server, in good standing, in the judicial circuit in which the foregoing occurred. The facts in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and true and correct.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on theo March by the affiant

who is personally known to me.

NICOLE M. WADE My Notary ID # 129086987 Expires August 9, 2024

Dane Ray Cuppett PSC-7114, Exp. 10/31/23

Date

Malone Process Service, LLC P.O. Box 720040 Dallas, TX 75372 (877) 997-3783

Our Job Serial Number: ATX-2022003044

DOCUMENT 4

Marissa Gomez DEPUTY

CAUSE NO. DC-22-02635

GLORIA J. LEWIS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
	§	
VS.	§	134 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
WALGREENS CO.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

WALGREEN CO.'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

Defendant Walgreen Co. ("Defendant") improperly named Walgreens Co. files this Original Answer and Affirmative Defenses, and in support thereof, respectfully shows the Court as follows:

I. **GENERAL DENIAL**

1. Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained in the live petition filed in this lawsuit by Dorothy Bush ("Plaintiff"), along with any other pleading seeking affirmative relief subsequently filed by Plaintiff in this lawsuit, whether amended, supplemental, and/or otherwise, and Defendant hereby demands strict proof of all allegations at the time of trial via the applicable standard(s).

II. **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

2. Pleading alternatively, without waiver of the foregoing, Defendant asserts the following affirmative Defenses:

Contributory Negligence

3. Plaintiff's claims are barred as a result of Plaintiff's own negligence, which contributed in whole or in part to Plaintiff's alleged damages. Alternatively, Plaintiff's recovery, if any, should be reduced by the percentage of Plaintiff's contributory negligence.

Proportionate Responsibility

4. This action is subject to the proportionate responsibility provisions of Chapter 33 of the Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code, including (without limitation) the requirement of §33.003 thereof that the trier of fact determine the relative responsibility of each claimant, defendant, settling person and responsible third-party who may be joined in the suit.

Intervening / Superseding Cause

- 5. Plaintiff's alleged damages resulted from new and independent, unforeseeable, superseding and/or intervening causes unrelated to any conduct of Defendant.
- 6. The injuries and damages Plaintiff is claiming, if any, are due, in whole or in part, to an intervening or superseding cause, or a cause unrelated to Defendant or Defendant's alleged actions or omissions.

Preexisting Conditions

7. The pain, injuries, and damages that Plaintiff is claiming in this case preexisted the incident made the basis of this lawsuit, and are unrelated to any alleged actions or omissions of Defendant.

Paid or Incurred - Civil Practice & Remedies Code Section 41.0105

8. Pursuant to Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code Section 41.0105, Defendant asserts that recovery of the medical healthcare expenses incurred by Plaintiff, if any, is limited to

the amount actually paid by or on Plaintiff's behalf, and that this is the only amount that should be submitted to the tier of fact.

9. Plaintiff is not entitled to recover medical charges that a provider is not entitled to be paid, and as such evidence of such charges is irrelevant to the issue of damages. Thus, only evidence of recoverable medical expenses is admissible at trial. *See generally Haygood v. De Escabedo*, 356 S.W.3d 390 (Tex. 2011).

Failure to Mitigate

10. Defendant says Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages and Defendant is not liable for any condition resulting from the failure, if any, of Plaintiff to act as a person or persons of ordinary prudence would have acted under the same or similar circumstances in caring for and treating his alleged injuries. To the extent Plaintiff had or has coverage under a health insurance policy, hospitalization insurance policy, accident insurance policy, a "health benefit plan" as defined by Section 146.001(1) Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code, and/or any other insurance and/or indemnity coverage that would be applicable to, or provide coverage for, any of the healthcare expenses allegedly incurred by Plaintiff as a result of the alleged incident (health insurance carrier), Plaintiff failed to mitigate her alleged damages by failing to submit such healthcare expenses to her health insurance carrier and/or by failing to timely inform his health care provider of the existence of such coverage and requesting that the health care provider submit a claim for reimbursement to her health insurance carrier, thus failing to enjoy available contractual insurance company reductions. Furthermore, to the extent Plaintiff's health care provider(s) failed and/or refused to timely and directly bill Plaintiff's health insurance carrier for health care services provided to Plaintiff, when required or authorized to do so, then claims by such health care service provider(s) are barred, in whole or in part, and do not constitute medical expenses actually paid or

incurred by or on behalf of Plaintiff. *See* Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 146.003, et seq. ("Certain Claims by Health Care Service Providers Barred"). If Plaintiff does not have applicable healthcare insurance, Plaintiff failed to mitigate damages by failing to enroll for required healthcare insurance under the Patient Protections and Affordable Care Act (PPACA or "Obamacare").

Payment

11. Plaintiff's recovery, if any, should be reduced by the amount of the payments Plaintiff has received to compensate her for her alleged damages, if any.

Civil Practice & Remedies Code Section 18.091

- 12. To the extent same becomes applicable and necessary in this case, Defendant invokes the evidentiary requirements as set forth in Section 18.091 of the TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE regarding all damages that fall within the scope of this provision.
- 13. Specifically, alleged lost wages and loss of earning capacity must be proved in net form, after accounting for tax and other liabilities.

Interest Limitations

- 14. The amount of any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest awarded in this case against Defendant, if any, is limited and/or subject to the limitations set forth in common law, statute, state and federal constitutions, the Texas Finance Code, and/or the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.
- 15. Additionally and specifically, Defendant affirmatively pleads and asserts that Plaintiff's claims for prejudgment and post-judgment interest are limited by the dates and amounts set for in Section 304 of Texas Finance Code and Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

III. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that upon final hearing, the Court enter a judgment that Plaintiff takes nothing by way of her claims against Defendant in this case, that all costs be taxed against Plaintiff, and that Defendant be awarded such other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity, to which Defendant may show itself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP

Bv:

Mark A. Goodman State Bar No. 08156920

mark.goodman@foxrothschild.com

1 Jovennen

Jamie-Lee Denton

State Bar No. 24105297

 $\underline{jdenton@foxrothschild.com}$

Saint Ann Court

2501 N. Harwood Street, Suite 1800

Dallas, Texas 75201

972/991-0889

972/404-0516 - Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT WALGREEN CO.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of March 2022, true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served upon the following counsel of record:

Ryan L. Thompson
Kristi Wood
Thompson Law LLP
3300 Oak Lawn Avenue, 3rd Floor
Dallas, Texas 75219
rthompson@triallawers.com
kwood@triallawyers.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Via E-service

Mark A. Goodman

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Felicia Harris on behalf of Mark Goodman Bar No. 8156920 fharris@foxrothschild.com Envelope ID: 63098405 Status as of 3/30/2022 1:08 PM CST

Associated Case Party: GLORIAJ.LEWIS

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
KRISTI WOOD		kwood@triallawyers.com	3/30/2022 12:31:30 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: WALGREENS CO.

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Jamie-Lee Denton		JDenton@foxrothschild.com	3/30/2022 12:31:30 PM	SENT
Mark A.Goodman		mark.goodman@foxrothschild.com	3/30/2022 12:31:30 PM	SENT
Felicia Q.Harris		fharris@foxrothschild.com	3/30/2022 12:31:30 PM	SENT